



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,134	09/08/2003	Valerie De La Poterie	230251US0	8666
22850	7590	06/21/2010		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.				
1940 DUKE STREET				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			EXAMINER	
			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,134	DE LA POTERIE ET AL.
	Examiner JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	Art Unit 1619

All Participants:

- (1) JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT. (3) _____.
 (2) Daniel J. Periera. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2010

Status of Application: Final rejection

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph, 103 rejections and ODP rejections

Claims discussed:

as of record

Prior art documents discussed:

U. S. Patents, 5519063 ('063); 6,946,518 ('518); 6949504 ('504); 7129276 ('276); 7255870 ('870); and PGPUB 2004/0005279 ('279)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT /
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner informed the attorney that 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn and the application is in condition for allowance subjecting to deletion of "fatty rheological agents and mixtures there of " and reciting specific semi crystalline polymer species (6) described at page 21 and submitting common ownership statements over PGPUB '279 , and patents '518, '504, '276 and '870 since all these documents describe the same species described at page 21 of the specification . If applicants do not adopt the suggestions then the above patents raise issue under 103 and also under obviousness type double patenting rejection since the patents teach and claim " semi crystalline polymers" and PGPUB only teach since the application is abandoned and thus no issue of obviousness type double patenting rejection. Examiner also informed the attorney that patent '063 teaches semi crystalline polymer under "mascara" and this document date is not a 102 (e) date but 102 (b) date.